

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,920	02/07/2001	Jacques Dumas	BAYER 15 P3	6183
23599	7590 07/25/2003			
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			DESAI, RITA J	
	ARLINGTON, VA 22201			
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	1625	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· .	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/777,920	DUMAS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rita J. Desai	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status 1) Reconcilies to communication (a) filed on						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
, <u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	, ,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30 and 34-48</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30,37-39,41,44 and 48</u> is/are rejected	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30,37-39,41,44 and 48</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 34-36,40 and 42-47 is/are objected to	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-36,40 and 42-47</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 11	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continuing Examination

The request filed on 5/28/03 for a Request for Continuing Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/777920 is acceptable and an RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claims pending 1-30, 34-48.

The examination is drawn to the group I of the restriction in paper number 6.

Applicants have not amended the claims to reflect the elected group. Applicants are required to amend the claims to the election subject matter and preserve their right to file a divisional on the canceled non-elected subject matter without prejudice, in due course.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 37, 38, 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The different substitutents have a vague description of being groups of 1-40 or 1-20 carbon atoms with different hetero atoms.

There are no specific written description as to what these could be.

Art Unit: 1625

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

SCOPE OF ENABLEMENT

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for Rx, Rz, Rf to be a lower alkyl, does not reasonably provide enablement for any hetero or other large group of 1-30 or 1-40 carbon atoms with other hetero atoms. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

The guidelines for making a determination of whether or not the disclosure satisfies the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue" have been used.

There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue". These factors include 1) the breadth of the claims, 2) the nature of the invention, 3) the state of the prior art, 4) the level of one of ordinary skill, 5) the level of predictability in the art, 6) the amount of direction provided by the inventor, 7) the existence of working examples, and 8) the quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure. In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).



Art Unit: 1625

The breadth of the claims: The instant claims encompass many compounds ranging from an aromatic carbocyclic moiety to an aromatic carbocyclic moiety having many large electron withdrawing and bulky groups substituted on it to a moiety having many heterocyclic rings having many large and various groups hanging from it. These compounds cover a very wide range of compounds with the probabilities of the the Rx, Ry, Rz, Rf substitutions.

The nature of the invention: The invention is a (highly) very specific since it is used to treat diseasess, since compounds work in a lock and key mechanism and that is useful as pharmaceuticals..

The state of the prior art: The WO 99/32437 patent teaches similar compounds with pharmmaceutical uses. With only two substituents the amino and nitro.

The level of one of ordinary skill: The ordinary artisan is highly skilled.

The level of predictability in the art: It is unknown what the level of predictability is in the art since the working examples either in the specification or in the prior art of compounds doing similar activity have only limited type of substituents.

The quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure: Since there are working examples only with respect to one the substitutuents - CON Ra Rb, the amount of experimentation is very high and burdensome and the few compounds made do not represent the fullest extent of the instant claim 1.

Taking the above factors into consideration, it is not seen where the instant specification enables the ordinary artisan to make and use the full scope of the instantly claimed invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.



Art Unit: 1625

Claims 39 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 39 and 41 recite the limitation that it is not OH but not what it is.

Claims 29, 30, 38 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims recite a method of treating but it does not recite the steps of treating.

Applicants can over come this by reciting the effective amount in the claims.

Conclusion

The claims are not found to be allowable.

Closest prior art: WO00/47577 Coulton Steven et al. 8/2000.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita J. Desai whose telephone number is 703-305-1868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday,9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman can be reached on 703-308-4698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-7922 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Rita J. Desai Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

R.D. July 24, 2003